

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,680	01/21/2004	Osamu Kobayashi	GENSP047	5247	
30426 77590 977272099 STMICROELECTRONICS, INC. MAIL STATION 2346 1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE			EXAMINER		
			SHAIFER HARRIMAN, DANT B		
CARROLLTC			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
			2434		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	10/762,680	KOBAYASHI, OSAMU					
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DANT B. SHAIFER HARRIMAN	2434					
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>							
(1) <u>DANT B. SHAIFER HARRIMAN</u> . (3) <u>Marc Hanish</u> .							
(2)	(4)						
Date of Interview: 9 July 2009	Time: <u>2pm</u>						
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)						
Part I.							
Rejection(s) discussed: None							
Claims discussed: None							
Prior art documents discussed: None							
Part II.							
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet							
Part III.							
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 							
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434							
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if	appropriate)				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The following was discussed in the interview:

1. Applicant inquired about whether or not the office is expecting a response from the applicant as appeared to the applicant after consulting "pair," after sending in a complete listing of claims as requested by the examiner in a miscellanous communication on 3/30/2009. The examiner replied that he would speak to his supervisor and contact applicant regarding the delay.